

Title: Conversations

Subtitle: Does Hebraic Theology Make More Sense in a Hindu Context

Second Subtitle: Does Hebraic Theology Make More Sense in a Hindu Context

Author: wizanda

Date: 1511090140

URL: https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=21

In Hinduism there is one ultimate manifestor of reality (Brahman), and this has been seen in physical form called Avatars (Shiva, Vishnu, Brahma, Krishna, etc.).

According to a growing number of scholars, when we examine the earlier God Most High, [URL='https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elyon']who divided the world into Elohim[/URL], and gave YHWH Elohim the nation of Israel as his people (Deuteronomy 32:8).

This then makes loads more sense of why the early Christian church accepted Elohim being a son of the God Most High (El Elyon - Luke 1:32), and God in Revelation 21:22).

In Revelation 4:4 there are 24 Elders sitting around the Throne of God Almighty, and one of them in Revelation 5:6.

These are thus similar to the 24 Elders/Avatars/Elohim, who have come here to understand the divine.

To me anything that is seen in a physical form is not the God Most High, who is processing and creating reality; thus it is impossible for it to have walked with Jacob (Israel), and to have eaten with Abraham.

There are scriptures that say YHWH Elohim is the one who created reality, which is similar to what Brahma did in terms of creating realities design, and Hinduism has something in the Gita, that it is One God, yet it recognizes that Brahman is the ultimate reality.

So basically have the Jews after the Babylonian exile confused everyone? Elohim can be seen both plural and singular depending on context, when talking about Avatars all along?

This is my understanding of Oneness, God Most High/Brahman is One, the source of everything with everything stemming from it, and the representatives recognize that this reality exists.