Title: The "I Am" Conspiracy
Subtitle: Religious Resolution

Author: wizanda
Date: 2025/4/5
URL: https://www.wizanda.com/modules/article/view.article.php/c3/329

Keywords: God, Bible, Dharma, Oneness, Religion, I Am, Christ, Conspira

Summary: The world has deceived its Self theologically, due to having a

Many people have been convinced to believe that God, and Angelic Being divinity; this has come from a misunderstanding of ancient languages ov being made up, and a lack of theological structuring - where by dissectin possible to fix the theological miscomprehensions.

Lets start at the source of it all, that God is beyond "I Am", as God is be doesn't exist as an "I", as God is the Source of all the Individuals that e

The letter "I" is like a historical timeline; where we have a beginning an

In the Bible the Creator Divine Being (YHVH Eloh) has been misunderstoo the God Most High (El Elyon); where the Creator/Creation does have a be God the Source of reality doesn't, as even when the universe collapses o be there the same.

Because of the mechanisms of speech, when in the prophetic writings, we prophets speaking as God, and they use 'I Am the one speaking', this imp them, it isn't that God then becomes the Great "I Am", as God is beyond

In Exodus 3:14 this has been commonly been mistranslated as 'I Am that ÐÔÙÔ); it would be better translated, 'Shall be what shall be', as there i ancient Hebrew sentence structure.

Ani/Anoki is the word 'I' (ÐàÙ/ÕÐàÛÙ) in Hebrew, and we can find senter Hebrew, that have 'Ani Ahayah', and where it doesn't make sense that 'A 'I' implied, as we have sentences that break that rule.

It's a modern idea of the language that when an Aleph is used before the automatically implies it must be a person, and therefore there is an 'I' in Biblical Hebrew, that shows it wasn't used that way grammatically in anc Jeremiah 31:1, Hosea 14:6, Ezekiel 34:24, etc).

Where all these verses have Ani Ahayah (2 Samuel 7:14, 1 Chronicles 17 Jeremiah 32:38, Ezekiel 11:20, Ezekiel 14:11, Ezekiel 37:23, Zechariah 2 of these have Anoki Ahayah (Exodus 4:12+15, Deuteronomy 31:23, Judges Jeremiah 11:4, Jeremiah 24:7, Jeremiah 30:22, Ezekiel 36:28).

The statement in Exodus 3:14 was meant as a 'memorial to the people', t
Makes Become (Yah-HaVaH) says it will become something, then 'it will b
become'.

The terminology Bhagavan Brahma means the 'Lord who makes Become', j
Yah-Havah means in ancient Hebrew - this terminology was used to imply
in ancient times.

The next problem with the misusage of language is within the Sanskrit, w
'Self', 'Soul', & 'Life'.

We all have an Atman, which is that our Soul ID, interlinks with ourself (
individual.

We perceive that we have Self, as we have a historical timeline, so we th
analyse our Self from our soul's perspective.

Some places it says that Brahman is all Atman, and then people perceive
like us.

Therefore in Hinduism's concept of Self Realisation, they also believe th
around making "I Am" statements, that Self is actually God interacting wi

Whilst it is true that without God, none of us would exist, and so God is
us, it doesn't make us God, just because God can use us like a puppet, w

Clearly lots of people within this realm called the Maya (which is betwee
(Naraka)) would like to claim themselves as more than they are, as it is
and thus it leads us to delusions.

The easiest way to explain theologically the errors being made: Is that w
a universal super computer; where the God Most High (El Elyon/Ala Ilah)
like a CPU (Universal Central Processor).

The Source of reality doesn't have a personal ID (I), and a soul; yet it ca
within Universal Consciousness, the Source of reality is all of us.

Because in the Bible it says that YHVH is the First & the Last - the Crea
beginning & an end, and thus a timeline (I), whereas the Source of realit

Above Brahma (Creator Divine Being) in Hinduism is Brahman (the quality
within the Biblical theology: the God Most High is the Source of reality,
Creator is the Arm of God interacting with the reality, and the Messiah is
into this world.

Buddha tried to correct Hinduism back to the Dharma; where by using a t
makes the Source of reality into a thing, when it is everything.

When God is understood as a being, it is then perceived that it has a Sel

etc, pointed out that the Source of reality is Selfless (Anatt).

The Gospel of John was made up with multiple "I Am" sentences to imply
claiming himself as being God incarnate, when he didn't speak that way i
warns that many will be deceived by it (Matthew 24:4-5, Mark 13:5-6, Luk

These layers of misunderstanding have caused numerous theological erro
because of this some have then thought "I Am" statements imply divinity,
selfless.

Yeshua taught selflessness the same as other Avatars, yet due to lousy t
portrayed correctly; where he told his followers to hate Self ('Psyche' - I
serve two masters (Matthew 6:24) - Where Mammon implies that which we
becomes an idol to serve, before serving the Source of reality.

Between the Dharmic ideas being muddled, as people want to revere them
Divine, and the errors in the Biblical language it has led some theologian
in the fake Gospel of John was making "I Am" statements, he was simply
Hindu concepts have taught; yet as already suggesting Buddha being an
Sustainer), tried to fix this mistake, and lead Dharmic followers back to

The ultimate Source of reality is beyond infinite time, so whereas we exi
Creation, the Source exists in a place of Oneness (Zeroness), before any
and thus has a perspective beyond eternity.

When we limit ourself into a vessel, and attach to the idea of being a Se
part of that infinite scope, and in letting go of all attachment, we reach
Nirvana/Oneness.