

**Title: John was a Pharisee**

**Subtitle: Religious Resolution**

Author: wizanda

Date: 2006/8/6

URL: <https://www.wizanda.com/modules/article/view.article.php/c3/42>

Keywords: Pharisee, John, Bible, Perdition, Murderer, Liar, Anti-Christ

Summary: The author of the books of John, where written by a member of

Now to many this may come as a shock, as this is what you have been ta  
Christian belief. Yet what I have to say is the truth and can be proved by  
have. The books of John have been written by a Pharisee and not by Joh  
many now believe.

So why do I say this, at first I believed that John was one of the disciple  
Christian circles. Yet after discovering that Paul is the Anti-Christ and t  
against God, as told by the rest of the prophets in the Bible, it still didn  
I re-read over all the books of Mathew, Mark, Luke and John. While readi  
me how many times The author of John had known things that only a Phar  
and what's more, a Pharisee who had been part of the council that sente  
knew about private conversations they had, amongst them selves.

So let's go through these and you will see why I say such things that the  
the Pharisees:

Quote:

John 1:19 and this is the witness of John, when the Jews sent priests an  
ask him, who are you?

How would John Mark the disciple, know where they were sent from? Only  
when they were sent, would know. Also no other disciple mentioned that

Quote:

John 1:24 and they who were sent were from the Pharisees.

Again knowing who was sent and where from, these are small points, yet  
you read on and see the bigger picture.

Quote:

John 2:18-20 Then the Jews answered and said to Him, What sign do you  
these things? (19) Yeshua answered and said to them, destroy this tem  
raise it up. (20) Then the Jews said, this temple was forty-six years bu  
in three days? (21) But He spoke of the temple of His body. (22) There  
the dead, His disciples remembered that He had said this to them, and th  
and the word which Yeshua had said.

This is blatantly obvious that it is made up by the Jewish council, as we  
one of Yeshua's disciples that it was false.

Quote:

Mar 14:55-59 and the chief priests and all the Sanhedrin sought for witness against Him to death. And they found none. (56) For many testified falsely against Him; their testimonies were not identical. (57) And certain ones rose up and bore false witness against Him, saying, (58) we heard Him say, I will destroy this temple that is made with hands, and I will build another made without hands. (59) But neither was their testimony

This by Mark makes it clear that the destroying of the temple is made up, and is also repeated in Matthew, yet not in as much detail. This is probably the reason the books of John can't be trusted. If this is clearly made up to disgrace Him, that he was killed for this, what else is made up?

Quote:

Mat 26:59-61 And the chief priests and the elders and all the Sanhedrin sought for witness against Yeshua, in order to put Him to death. (60) But they found none; yea, though they came, they found none. But at last two false witnesses came up (61) and testified, saying, We heard Him say, I will destroy the temple of God and to build it in three days.

Now as you see in both Mathew and Mark (disciples) they tell us that it was made up to discredit him, so how then could John later say, "that then the disciples testified against Him, saying, that they heard Him say, I will destroy the temple, and build it in three days, the disciples say it was false witness, meaning made up.

Quote:

John 3:1 and there was a man of the Pharisees named Nicodemus, a ruler of the Jews.

This is the first mention of what is presumably, the second name of the man. The disciples wouldn't have known his name unless told. There are many points in the book, which only someone of that rank within the Jewish council or Pharisees would be known.

Quote:

John 3:9 Nicodemus answered and said to Him, How can these things be?

Again clear of who was saying things from a Pharisee perspective, not as a Jew, that it simply, was a Pharisee. This whole account John 3:1-21, is the private conversation Nicodemus is said to have had with Yeshua in the night. There are many points in the conversation, which are contrary to what Yeshua taught the disciples. If Nicodemus was present during this conversation, they would have surely included these things, a message of salvation according to the book of John. Yet in the other three books, these things, in fact the points that are contained, are contrary to the teaching of Yeshua, according to Mathew, Mark and Luke.

Quote:

John 7:32 The Pharisees heard that the crowd murmured such things concerning Yeshua; and the Pharisees and the chief priests sent officers to seize Him.

This is clear that it is only a Pharisee, who would have been present, who would have discussed and acted on by them.

Quote:

John 7:35 Then the Jews said among themselves, where is he about to go? Is he about to go to the Dispersion of the Greeks, and to teach the Greeks?

Here this is even saying that it was a private conversation between the Jews.  
Quote:

John 7:45-52 then the officers came to the chief priests and Pharisees. And they said to him, Why have you not brought him? (46) The officers answered, never did any man see him here. (47) Then the Pharisees answered them, Also, have you not been deceived? Not any of the rulers or of the Pharisees have believed into him? (49) But he that is of the Law, is cursed. (50) Nicodemus said to them, (he who came to Yeshua at night), (51) Does our law judge the Man before it hears Him and knows what He says? He answered and said to him, Are you also from Galilee? Search the scriptures; for of Galilee has not been raised out of Galilee.

There is no disciple present here and this is a discussion between the Pharisees and Nicodemus prominent in what is being said, almost defending him self after the fact for help.

Quote:

John 9:13-34 they brought him who once was blind to the Pharisees. (14) When Yeshua made the clay and opened his eyes. (15) Then again the Pharisees asked him how he had received his sight. He said to them, He put clay upon my eyes. (16) Therefore some of the Pharisees said, this man is not from God, because he does not keep the Sabbath. Others said, how can a man, a sinner, do such miracles? And they said to him, What do you say about him? He said, He is a prophet. (18) But the Jews did not believe concerning him, because he was blind and had received his sight, until they called the parents of him who was born blind. They asked them, saying, is this your son, whom you say was born blind? He said, we know that this is our son, but we do not know how he has received his sight, until he called him. (21) But by what means he now sees, we do not know. Or who has opened his eyes? He said, He is of age, ask him. He will speak for himself. (22) His parents did not say anything because they feared the Jews, for the Jews had already agreed that if any man confessed that he was Christ, he should be put out of the synagogue. (23) Therefore his parents said nothing to him, but said, Ask him. (24) Then a second time they called the man who was blind and said to him, Give glory to God. We know that this man is a sinner. (25) He answered and said, why do you say so? I do not know; one thing I do know, that being blind, now I see. (26) Then they said to him, What did he do to you? How did he open your eyes? (27) He answered them, I do not know. You did not hear. Why do you want to hear it again? Do you also desire to be blind? Then they reviled him and said, you are his disciple, but we are Moses' disciples. God spoke to Moses, but we do not know from where this man is. (30) They said to him, why, this is a marvelous thing, that you do not know from where he is, who has opened my eyes. (31) But we know that God does not hear sinners, but if anyone does His will, He hears him. (32) From everlasting it was not heard that anyone has opened the eyes of one who was born blind. (33) If this One were not of God, He could do nothing. He answered and said to him, you were altogether born in sins, and do you teach us?

This whole account here is by the Pharisee and again clear that it is written present when this account happened.

Quote:

John 10:19-21 then a division occurred again among the Jews because of many of them said, He has a demon and is insane. Why do you hear him? are not words of one who has been possessed by a demon. A demon is not blind ones.

I pray by now, you can see that these accounts are starting too added up private conversations between the Jewish council and Pharisee. Meaning indeed one of them and the next bit shows in some ways they chooses for Quote:

John 11:45-53 then many of the Jews who came to Mary, and had seen the believed on Him. (46) But some of them went to the Pharisees and told done. (47) Then the chief priests and Pharisees gathered a Sanhedrin, For this man does many miracles. (48) If we let him alone this way, all Romans will come and take away both our place and nation. (49) And on the high priest of that year, said to them, You do not know anything at all that it is expedient for us that one man should die for the people, and not perish. (51) And he did not speak this of himself, but being high priest Yeshua should die for the nation; (52) and not for that nation only, but together in one the children of God who were scattered abroad. (53) They counsel together that they might kill Him.

This is the most important, as this was discussed when the author of John clearly shows that it was the Jewish councils idea to kill Yeshua. Does the Yeshua, to not say something at this point, if he could see that he is and fulfils prophecy as spoke in Isaiah 53 and makes it apparent why he grieves a sin offering, as this is a Pharisee version of the events.

Quote:

John 12:9-11 then a great crowd of the Jews learned that he was there. At Yeshua' sake only, but also that they might see Lazarus, whom he had raised. But the chief priests consulted that they might put Lazarus to death also. Jews went away and believed on Yeshua because of him.

This is clear that the author of John was among the chief priests as who wanted to put Lazarus to death. This definitely wouldn't be made public. He would be appalled, to hear such things. That a man brought back to life, he was brought back to life. How can we trust someone, who has even then. Then in other books talks about love, is this someone who understands what Quote:

John 12:19 Then the Pharisees said among themselves, Do you see how you the world has gone after Him.

Again a private conversation between the Pharisee, so unless you were a

be known.

Quote:

John 12:42 Still, however, even out of the rulers, many did believe on Him. But the Pharisees they did not confess, lest they should be put out of the synagogue.

The writer knows the feelings of many of the council, unless you were friends, how do you know how they felt about Yeshua?

Quote:

John 18:10 Then Simon Peter, having a sword, drew it and struck the high priest's servant, and cut off his right ear. And the servant's name was Malchus.

The writer knows whose servant it was and even their name.

Quote:

John 18:13-14 and they led Him away to Annas first, for he was father-in-law to the high priest that year. (14) And Caiaphas was he who gave counsel to them that it was expedient that one man should die for the people.

Clearly showing that the writer not only knew who everybody was, yet the names of the families as well.

Quote:

John 18:24 Then Annas had sent Him bound to Caiaphas the high priest.

This makes it apparent that who ever wrote this, knew what happened to Peter after leaving the disciples. Where and who he was sent to with their names.

Quote:

John 18:26 One of the servants of the high priest, being kinsman to him, said, Did I not see you in the garden with him?

This is a clear statement that only a Pharisee or someone within the Jewish council, whose servant was related to the person, who had their ear cut off.

Quote:

John 19:39 and Nicodemus also came, who at the first came to Yeshua by night, bringing a mixture of myrrh and aloes, about a hundred pounds.

This again shows that Nicodemus was trying to help, after the event and the Gospel may have been written by him. Else why would you include detail such as names and ingredients?

Quote:

Act 4:6 And Annas the high priest, and Caiaphas, and John, and Alexander, and the kindred of the high priest, were gathered together at Jerusalem.

This when included with all the points shown, makes it clear that John, w

of the Pharisee high priests.

There is alone within this, points that make the books of John not trust v  
foundation though, we can begin to see that what is taught to today, is u  
the gospels of Mathew, Mark and Luke and what Yeshua actually said.