Title: Conversations
Subtitle: Christians don't follow Christ
Second Subtitle: Re: Christians don't follow Christ?
Author: wizanda
Date: 1143893491
URL: https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=30

A quick list of some of the problems we all now face is that:

The author of John has not been known or assumed by many to be John M
was a disciple of Yeshua.
Now Given the fact that in the gospels we are told that John went up the
saw Elijah and mosses this isn't recorded in John, yet we do find it is re
details included.
Now if you go over the gospels with this in mind at looking at graphic de
giving a first hand account and who is repeating for to included graphic
person was there.
Which leads me back on to the real author of John is now as in the articl
a Pharisee.
There are a number of points and I mean about 20 or more, that would ha
of only the Jewish high council, Pharisees ECT
We are told in Acts, that one of these is named John.
With in the gospel of John we are given 3-4 account of private knowledge
Nicodemus. We are told measures of ingredients which only the person w
them would have known, which again we are told John Nicodemus was the
properly writing his own account).

Now most of the Christian ideology you know and I was brought up on is
and stems from the gospel of John and Paul.
If you read the whole article it also includes, how there are blatant lies
law, would show the testimony or gospel of John in this case to be untrus
The point I am referring to is that it says that "Christ said he would dest
3 days and then the disciples knew he meant the body".
Then in Matthew who was a tax collector and Mark, we are told that the P
Yeshua said he would destroy the temple.
So this would mean that the author of John was writing this as if truth, w

Then given all the other evidence that shows the author would have to ha
to known the private conversations that take place.
Then if you go over where the book of John if taken from a Jewish perspe
was God or a loony and most will take the second option; it isn't there to
Yeshua, instead the opposite as what it does... is most Jews would never
they were the father.

Now that is a huge contradiction to Christ's words, in the other gospels,
father"!
Now is he an idiot and contradicts him self or is the author of John mak

parts.
Now when Christ actually said "I ask you not to drink of the vine, until th
Why in John would it tell you to eat his flesh and drink his blood, so you
Not only contradicting Christ's teachings, yet according to the torah or t
blood or flesh, is enough to be chucked out of the house of God.
So did Christ really say them things, no how could he?
He tells us again and again, that we should follow the commandments, ke
God asks, giving up wealth and following the commandments to gain eter
Not that you must take part of Christ (his blood and flesh) to have eterna
and to help everyone as one family.

Ok so where did John get all of this from?

Peter and what does peter mean Stone, it isn't a name; Christ was being
that he would trip every one up.
As the only time you will find mentioned in the same sentence in the Bibl
has, is in Isaiah and the stone of stumbling and the rock of offence.
Now according to Isaiah God is the stone of the stone of stumbling and t

So what is both Paul and Simon peters going on about saying it is Christ
to him self in context; these include the rook, the plummet stone, the se
son or Child of God, and the door keeper.

If you go over what I am saying carefully you will see if you remove the
does indeed not portray any ego or say he is God in anyway and said it r

It is these books of John, Paul and Simons that have confused everyone
and the rest of the Bible to come as the perdition and the falling away.

This is what it means when it refers to the dragon as we are told that a
portrays its self as God.
Now take away the books I have stated (Plus Christ did say beware of th

So though I do like Simon, you can see with in the gospels how Christ re
faith was and that being in God; not him self!
Christ even goes as far to call him Satan as he acknowledges the things
I would like to leave Simon out of the picture and just say Paul and John
Simon vouches for Paul's doctrine, so giving it authority, it shouldn't hav
Also that in the prophecy in Zechariah it says a stone will be laid before
and Christ called Simon stone (peter).... The thing you have to ask your

Did Christ make a mistake?

How could he, if he was guided by God and the amount of prophecies tha
astounding; so proving God's hand was on it from the start.

Simon peter gets it completely muddled up with the stone and plummet st
different things in prophecy.

We have a stone engraved as to stumble i.e. the bible and Peter means s
said in Zechariah and laid a stone before Yeshua; shame they changed h
be obvious to see otherwise.

Then really who I should have started with or I first saw and many have,
contradicts Christ teachings they are the exact opposite. Then pretendin
can he be?
When if you follow Paul's teachings, it forces you to not to follow Christ

Take a step out of the picture and have a look at it, with these bits in mi
to help Yeshua, whilst he was alive and then after he decided to join Sim
Christ teachings as to point at Christ more then God, as if in Acts is a c
imply this.

Then we have Paul or Saul, who uses to work with John, he would have b
Saul went to get orders to murderer Christians, he went to the high coun
part of around that time.

Now if this doesn't sound strange to you, then its time to clear the soot

You see this is written throughout prophecy and I am working on finishin
question I started, as it is possible to almost take one of every prophets
things are foretold and how to even believe God would sacrifice, is so wr

Now Christ told the parable of the vine dresser and them killing the son
is referring to him self!
What do Paul Simon and John teach you??
You have inheritance as he they murdered the vine dressers son!!!
Wasn't Christ telling you that God would give them nothing as they killed
in to account that if you translate Zechariah properly, That it actual says
field and the 30 pieces of silvers is to cut of grace and inheritance to th
think they have had so much bad luck since then?

So what's the difference if you follow Paul' teachings, then you believe t
anti Christ as you have to fetch in the harvest.
That you have inheritance from grace.. that's Anti-Christ as he cuts off b
of silver a they did.
So it makes it like walking through land mines to follow Christ and Paul a

If you follow John then you will believe 3:16 God so loved the world he g
this? A disciple?

No!

A Pharisee!!

Who lies to you in other parts of the gospel also and twist the truth to s
say, we took this account from a disciple at the end of the gospel of Joh
was the Pharisee interpretation of events take from hear say and what th
clear are what they knew, the points in the book of John about Christ, co
other gospels are Anti-Christ.

(I will explain why as I do realise this is tricky to see clearly, it's taken
yet I can explain it clearly with prophecy and scripture to show why.

Simon' s teaching you Christ is you savoir and that his blood washes you
and seriously anti-Christ, as according to Christ those who swear by the
So in agreeing you condemn your self by choosing to follow the Pharisee
sacrifice.....
It's a lie.....
Why would God allow something like this to happen, you may ask?

The Bible tells you this will happen and it is called a snare to see who is
many will take the easy path, yet the path is narrow..

This whole thing has got to stop, look at what is happening to us all!

with Christianity stemming from the Pharisees teachings and not Christ
so contradicting the prophets the world over and causing conflicts as we

This for anyone who doesn't know is the Muslims many problems, as the
changed the Bible
That is what Mohammed said to fight against and that is what is still hap
has studied why.. (Until now)

So separating the houses of Israel and Judah as foretold.

Yet this is where it gets tricky to fix as the Jews take no responsibility f
was the Jewish council who did the things, so really it could do with ther

As to understand all of the points you need a Jewish up bringing and to k
or the law of what you are allowed and not in Jewish customs spoken by
Then not to be weigh laid by reading Paul first, as this seriously misguid
lie.

This is what many Churches do and really I should approach many teache
understand this properly, yet the internet is as good a place as any to st

So if you read this and want your pastor, vicar or teacher of the Bible to
approach me and I will discuss each and every point on here with them to
can also see.

I think even if I wanted to write something that good to stop a persons te
don't think I could so well, I give them credit as in many cases, it has ta

to find all the reference I needed.

So anyway that's about it, basically what it is referring to in Revelations
the Churches, that stem from the Roman Catholic church a city establish
Where the people get drunk on the blood of the dead saints this is comm
anyone to drink, in fact he said the opposite
The reason it is called the mother? Is it has many daughters who worship
so being a harlot.

To give an image to God is a sin, so why if Christ says don't follow the F
being the image of God and blatant lie cheat and cover stuff do people fo