Title: Conversations

Subtitle: Difference Between Krishna and Buddha

Second Subtitle: Difference Between Krishna and Buddha

Author: wizanda

Date: 1518096705
URL: https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=21

Differences:

Krishna makes a point of Bhakti Yoga - As devotion to a Divine incarnati
connection to the Source. Buddha taught no self, and atman has been misunderstoo
Similarities:

That a Universal Mind that is beyond reality is the Hurt in the Source; of eve
which is an illusion that is imperfect. Karma affects our reality, and when we're perfec
ascend out of this realm of existence. We're to strive to be a decent being, else we'll fa
dimension. By recognizing our heart/soul we come to a state of nothingness,
that exists (0 Benches). Be the Dharma; don't be Anharmonic. Be in a constant state of Yoga (conne
to the Divine). Through the state of the Buddhi we reach enlightenment (B.G 6:2
The difference between these enlightened teachers on 'Atman' isn't beca
saying; as Krishna isn't using the word Atman to mean self, he seems to
recognizing the soul...

Where Buddha used the word 'heart' as being the soul that we must recog
is that Hinduism was confused by that time period, and due to other texts
had concluded that Atman was meaning self...

Thus they'd also concluded that Brahman had a self...

So Buddha then uses the Universal Mind for Brahman without a name; as
Krishna did not imply this either.

Krishna does make a point of Bhakti towards himself, and that by devotio
(avatar) we connect to the Source; whereas Buddha taught connect to the
all have the potential to be avatars/Bodhisattva.