

Title: Conversations

Subtitle: The Religion of the Future

Second Subtitle: Re: The Religion of the Future

Author: wizanda

Date: 1781948664

URL: https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=31

This is a continuation of the same topic, defining what Jim Palmer is asking. [First Question Wasn't God](#)

Having spent the last 22 years online discussing the world's religions with a noticeable that many people wanted a guidebook to life; when that failed system, some of the most devout anti-theists/atheists have been those with convictions.

When it comes to the concept of what is God, we have to be able to separate points, that can be shown to be irrational, and then create a more complex based on a consensus of objective facts.

Many people get very confused when speaking about God, as they make God as they want some sky-daddy to care for them in a world of uncertainty, parenting.

God is beyond the parts, and should be recognized if God is the very Source of everything, and not a being.

We can objectively show that our reality is all mathematical, where the mathematical matrices - showing that our whole reality is formulated down were taught this is random, when it can not be, as it is a static mathematical

We can also show that the Fibonacci Sequence is interwoven into everything; a finger print, an ocean wave, and a galaxy spiral are all Golden Spirals, showing a central Source to the mathematics for it to be universal

Therefore God is like a Universal Central Processor (CPU), formulating reality once we quantify the ontology of God, and then place that within the religious

We can see that Chronos already means the same (time & order) - where chronological from it processing reality.

Brahman means ultimate Source of manifestation.

Ahura Mazda is the Source of reality, meaning Lord of Wisdom, where light where God can obliterate beings from its radiance; if we came near the Cosmic game, we'd expect the same.

El Elyon/Ala Ilah means the God Most High, and according to its scriptur of reality; Abrahamically it has become problematic, as the Creator Divin interacted personally, and people then get stuck on that is God its self.

The problem within the scope you're analysing in your article, is the amb within the question of what is God, what is life, is there a religion that a clearly, and currently there isn't a complete system.

Mankind is at a crossroads/dilemma, as it is about to fight each other ov look for consensus within what has been addressed already within the wo are all there, we just need to correlate them into a much more compreh all of mankind can agree to.

Another part of the problem within what is being asked, is that 5% of soc who find their own way, 15% follow that first 5%, and then the other 80% the rest of the flock; thus when we've had fake shepherds over the flock, bigoted superstitions, many are left feeling there isn't a clear path.