Title: Conversations
Subtitle: Questioning the Rules of Reasoning
Second Subtitle: Questioning the Rules of Reasoning

Author: wizanda
Date: 1517869123
URL: https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=21

So on trying to explain how my logic works to a professor of Logic; realiz
in my head into a physical format, so did a few additional ones:

Code:
$ variable.plus or minus.with no variables and marks the new concept up by
me-> Explained here.

Inductive (Leading to) -> Data first to reach a possible conclusion : A +-
Deductive (Deduced based on) -> Conclusion is deduced from premise: A
Abductive -> Built upon the partial data, we build the most likely conclus
Reversive* -> We verify data, by reverse engineering it like algebra: $A
Argumentative -> Everything someone says will have fault, and we can de
wrong in some context: $A - $B = $0
Abstractive* -> Never anything solid, just observed together: $A $B $C
Dismissive -> Nothing will ever do: 0 - 0 (-0) = 0
Zen -> Things are observed, and comprehended... Then quantified by its
truly equated... Just understood: A +- B = $A * $B
Tao -> Things are understood by their own logical relationships, and ther
opposite results; as all manner of things follow this inclination: A +- B =
Please add to this list with equations, and will be impressed....?