

**Title: Conversations**

**Subtitle: Difference Between Krishna and Buddha**

**Second Subtitle: Difference Between Krishna and Buddha**

Author: wizanda

Date: 1518096705

URL: [https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic\\_id=21](https://www.wizanda.com/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=21)

Differences:

Krishna makes a point of Bhakti Yoga - As devotion to a Divine incarnation, a connection to the Source. Buddha taught no self, and atman has been misunderstood. Similarities:

That a Universal Mind that is beyond reality is the Heart of the Source; of everything which is an illusion that is imperfect in our reality, and when we're perfected, we ascend out of this realm. We're existing to be a decent being, else we'll fall. Dimensions. By recognizing our heart/soul we come to a state of nothingness, that exists (0 Being). Dharma; don't be Arha. A constant state of Yoga (connection to the Divine). Through the state of the Buddhi we reach enlightenment (B.G 6:23). The difference between these enlightened teachers on 'Atman' isn't because of saying; as Krishna isn't using the word Atman to mean self, he seems to be recognizing the soul...

Where Buddha used the word 'heart' as being the soul that we must recognize, it is that Hinduism was confused by that time period, and due to other texts, they had concluded that Atman was meaning self...

Thus they'd also concluded that Brahman had a self...

So Buddha then uses the Universal Mind for Brahman without a name; as Krishna did not imply this either.

Krishna does make a point of Bhakti towards himself, and that by devotion (avatar) we connect to the Source; whereas Buddha taught connect to the Source, all have the potential to be avatars/Bodhisattva.